

ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENT FORM FOR PROPOSED CODE CHANGES

(This form must be submitted electronically)

Author/requestor: Kathy Bourlas ADMINISTRATION 9

Email address: kathybourlas@qwestoffice.net

Telephone number: 763-754-2199

Firm/Association affiliation, if any: River City Sheet Metal

Proposed Code Change - Language

Please provide your proposed code change in ~~strikeout~~/underline format. Provide the *specific* language you would like to see changed, with new words underlined and words to be deleted should be ~~stricken~~. Also, state whether the language contained in your proposal is from a code book or from an amendment currently found in Minnesota Rule. (You may provide the language (electronically) on a separate, attached sheet).

Re:Rule 1300.0120, Subpart 14 Responsibility of ensuring that a final inspection is completed lies with the Person/Company that pulls the permit. Proposal, when all avenues have been exhausted and the inspection is still not completed, Responsibility shall fall to the City of the address in question. As an example, the customer would receive a letter stating that they have 30 days to respond to the notice and set up a final inspection or be responsible for pulling a second permit or be fined. This letter could be sent by the city or the HVAC dealer. This action would hopefully provide the leverage we currently do not have.

Proposed Code Change – Need and Reason

Please provide a thorough explanation of the need for this change and why this proposed code change is a reasonable change. During the rulemaking process, the Agency must defend the need and reasonableness of all its proposed changes. The Agency must submit evidence that it has considered all aspects of the proposal. (You may provide the need and reason (electronically) on a separate attached sheet).

Our company currently sends a letter to the customer at the time of installation advising the customer that they need to set a final inspection and explains the reasons to the customer, however if the equipment installed is working, the customer often times does not cooperate. There are currently many open inspections in our cities due to this issue, some dating as far back as 2007.

Proposed Code Change – Cost/Benefit Analysis

Please consider whether this proposed code change will increase/decrease costs or indicate that it will not have any cost implications and explain how it will not. If there is an increased cost, will

this cost be offset somehow by a life safety or other benefit? If so, please explain. Are there any cost increases/decreases to enforce or comply with this proposed code change? If so, please explain. (You may provide the cost/benefit analysis (electronically) on a separate, attached sheet).

Currently we send a letter with the Installers. This letter explains that an inspection is needed to ensure that the equipment was installed according to Manufacturers specs, does meet all city codes and has been deemed safe to operate by the consumer. The cities will then notify the individual HVAC companies by mail if the inspections are still not completed; we then attempt a phone call and a letter. Since we are already sending letters, we could send the letters with stronger language for the cities at no increased cost to the cities, or the letters could be sent from the cities . Again probably a wash because the cities continue to send our company letters advising that the finals have not been completed.

Other Factors to Consider Related to Proposed Code Change

1. Is this proposed code change meant to:

change language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).
Rules 1300.0120, Sub part 14

change language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).
not sure

delete language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).

delete language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).

neither; this language will be new language, not found in the code book or in Minnesota Rule.

2. Is this proposed code change required by a Minnesota Statute or new legislation? If so, please provide the citation to the Statute or legislation.
no

3. Will this proposed code change impact other sections of a published code book or of an amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, please list the affected sections or rule parts.
no

4. Will this proposed code change impact other parts of the Minnesota State Building Code? If so, please list the affected parts of the Minnesota State Building Code.
no

5. Who are the parties affected or segments of industry affected by this proposed code change?
Anyone pulling mechanical or plumbing permits in the State of Minnesota

6. Can you think of other means or methods to achieve the purpose of the proposed code change? If so, please explain what they are and why your proposed change is the preferred method or means to achieve the desired result.
No

7. Are you aware of any federal requirement or regulation related to this proposed code change? If so, please list the regulation or requirement.
No